# OTHER WAYS TO GROW Phaseolus coccineus and Phaseolus vulgaris Outdoor Trial at Waltham St Lawrence, Berkshire

1 May 2021–24 October 2021

Anthony Boyd

Stephen Morton



## **Contents**

SEC	CTION	PAGE
1	Abstract	2
2	Objectives	4
3	Materials and Methods	4
4	Results	5
5	Conclusions and Discussion	6
6	Further Work	7
Att	tachments	
1	References	8
2	Scheme of trial	9
3	Journal	10
4	Layout	13
5	Table of yields	14
6	Graphs	16
7	Schedule of trials 2022	18
8	Volunteers list	19
9	Water levels	20
10	Photographs 1–6	21

## OTHER WAYS TO GROW Phaseolus coccineus and Phaseolus vulgaris OUTDOOR TRIAL AT WALTHAM ST LAWRENCE, BERKSHIRE 1 May 2021–24 October 2021

### ABSTRACT

- 1.1. The trial is the latest in a series of annual trials 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020 and 2021, during which climbing beans were grown part horizontally (loop frame) in pots using varying integrated systems and yields compared.
- 1.2. We looked for a way to offer households without a garden an opportunity to grow climbing beans, these being capable of producing roughly double the yields of dwarf varieties.
- 1.3. We replaced liquid fertiliser with granular controlled release pellets for two sets of plants and no fertiliser in the case of the plants growing in a wool and bracken substrate, for which the manufacturers claim no fertiliser of any kind is needed.
- 1.4. We compared plant crop yields between three leading peat-free substrate products
- (a) Two (Incredipeatfree and Sylvagrow) Sets 3 and 5 with granular controlled release fertiliser tablets, and
- (b) One Set 4 with no fertiliser (Dalefoot vegetable).
- Yields were also compared with the recognised normal yields from plants grown without pots
- 1.5. Set 5 produced the highest yields (784g per plant) with a rating of "good"
- Set 4 yield per plant was 678.8g rated "Satisfactory".
- Set 3 at 566.0g was rated "satisfactory" but 16.6% below Set 4. This substrate formulation (with John Innes 2) seemed less suitable for culture in pots than those in the trial without loam.
- (Note Initial results from informal field trials in *open ground* conducted separately using frames of similar loop construction produced exceptional yields over 1.5Kg per plant).
- 1.6. There was evidence that the claim by Dalefoot "needs less water" may be valid.
- 1.7. Dwarf runners were grown in order to determine whether the exceptional results in the 2019 trial could be repeated, and this proved not to be the case.

Anthony Boyd, Stephen Morton November 2021

### 1. BACKGROUND

- 1.1. The trial is the latest in a series of annual trials 2017, 2018, 2019, and 2020, during which climbing beans were grown part horizontally (loop frame) in pots using varying integrated systems and yields compared.
- 1.2. We were looking for a way to offer households without a garden an opportunity to grow climbing beans, these being capable of producing roughly double the yields of dwarf varieties.
- Grown in pots, we hoped to be able to achieve results comparable to those from beans grown in open ground.
- 1.3. Guide figures for yields from climbing runner beans grown in open ground were taken to be:

"Exceptional"	:	Over 1,000g
"Good"	:	700–1000g
(approximately 50	)-6(	) pods)
"Satisfactory"	:	500 – 700g
"Unsatisfactory"	:	Below 500g

(See ATTACHMENT (1) References 1, 2, 3.)

- 1.4. We recognised that achieving "exceptional" yields might be difficult for plants in pots due to the restriction of root development which does not apply to plants grown in open ground.
- 1.5. Nevertheless, we found some written evidence to support the hypothesis (Attachment (1) References 4, 5) that climbing plants grown mainly horizontally might produce yields higher than those from plants grown upwards in the traditional way

It was hoped that this might compensate for yields being lower than desired when grown in pots (See 1.4.) – there being more energy available for production of crop.

1.6. In the event, any such compensation, if occurring, fell below the level required.

We then began using a liquid fertiliser, which brought about a significant improvement in yields, using both peat and non-peat substrates.

Supporting data may be found in our 2020 trial report.

- 1.7. However, feedback from our focus groups indicated, that for growers without a garden (See 1.2. above):
- (1) the amount of water needed per dose at one gallon per two plants in a tidy small area such as a balcony would be a negative factor and
- (2) this (weekly) procedure would be thought cumbersome and inconvenient.
- 1.8. In the current trial we therefore replaced liquid fertiliser with granular controlled release pellets for two sets of plants and no fertiliser in the case of the plants growing in a wool and bracken substrate set, for which the manufacturers claim no fertiliser of any kind is needed.

(Note regarding 1.5.and 1.6. Parallel informal trials using the same part horizontal loop frame in allotments produced yields of above 1.5 Kg per plant (to be continued).

1.9. We also grew Dwarf runners with and without liquid fertiliser in pots in 2019 and 2020. Those grown with liquid fertiliser 2019 produced exceptionally high yields (1,000g per plant compared to normal (See Attachment 3 References 2, 3.) of 400g. In 2020 plants treated with liquid fertiliser had better yields than those without, but yields were relatively low.

In the current trial we repeated the 2019 and 2020 Dwarf runner experiments.

#### 2. OBJECTIVES

#### 2.1. Climbing Runners

- (a) Compare plant crop yields from three leading peat free substrate products two (Incredipeatfree and Sylvagrow) with granular controlled release fertiliser tablets, and one with no fertiliser (Dalefoot vegetable).
- (b) Compare those yields against those given in 1.3. above.

#### 2.2. Dwarf Runners

- (a) Compare yield from plants grown in peat compost (Incredipeat) using controlled release granular fertiliser (Incredicrop) and liquid fertiliser (Tomorite) with yield from plants grown identically but without liquid fertiliser.
- (b) Evaluate extent to which these results match those from the same experiments in 2019 and 2020.

#### 3. MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 3.1. Materials

See Scheme of Trial Attachment (2), and Layout Photo (6) and 2.2.(a) above.

On emptying the pots fertiliser granules were found – seemingly intact as in 2020.

#### 3.2. Methods

These replicated those of 2019 and 2020.

For specifics of current trial refer to Journal ATTACHMENT (3).

- The two sets of dwarf runners (Sets (1) and (2) were located in exactly the same positions as in 2019 and 2020
- There were fewer sets of climbing runners than in previous years and it was possible to place them in a line West to East (See Layout Attachment(4) and Photos (1)) and (6) each having similar exposure to rainfall, sunlight, and shade.
- We had no failures and this year; damage from pests was minimal; Blackfly, although prevalent, was controlled as in previous years.
- Signs of foliar disease were seen in Set 4 (Dalefoot (Photograph (2)), suggested by Dalefoot to be mycelium which did not recur after removal.
- Plant 2 (Hestia) started very poorly (Photo 3) but recovered well (Photo 4); it was the last plant in the trial to finish flowering.

### 4. **RESULTS**

#### 4.1. Climbing Runners

- (a) See Table of Yields Attachment (5) and Graphs Attachment (6).
- (b) Set 5 produced the highest yields (784g per plant) which we would describe as "good" (See 1.3).
- This result is similar to those in the 2020 trial where the same liquid fertiliser was used with (a) a leading brand of substrate (Miracle Gro 777.5g and (b) a coir only substrate (Growlite) 835.2g.
- (c) Set 4 yield per plant was 678.8g in the "Satisfactory" category (top quartile). No fertiliser was used. It may be noted that yields were higher than for Set 5 early in the trial but were then overtaken (See Graphs ATTACHMENT (6))

Dalefoot claim their product "needs less water"

With Vigoroot pots it is possible visibly to observe irrigation of water through substrates following watering. Height of level of wet substrate was highest (slowest penetration) for plants in Set 4 (Dalefoot)).

(See Journal Attachment (3)) and Levels of wet compost Table in Attachment (9) and Photo (5).

- (d) Set 3 at 566.0g per plant also falls into the "satisfactory" category but 16.6% below Set 4.
- (Sylvagrow) substrate contains John Innes 2. Their formulation solidified to a greater extent than the other substrates, becoming clay-like, sometimes leaving deposit on foliage, and forming a crust in hot weather.

(See Journal Attachment (3)).

#### 4.2. Dwarf Runners

- (a) A normal yield would be 400g per plant (See References. Attachment (1))
- (b) Yields per plant 2019, 2020, and 2021 were:

Year Granular fertiliser only	Granular+Liquid fertiliser
real Granular fer tillser offig	· Oranular + Liquid ler (inser

2019	-	1055.7
2020	305.8	<b>432.5</b> (+ 41%)
2021	545.5	<b>558.5</b> (+2.4%)

### 5. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSION

#### 5.1. Climbing Runners

(a) Thompson & Morgan, Dalefoot

The Thompson & Morgan set (Incredipeatfree) produced the best yield, 15% more than Set 5

(Dalefoot). However, we feel a comparison needs to be made :

- (1) with further leading products using peat free substrates.
- (2) with Dalefoot + a granular fertiliser.

We could also examine again the Dalefoot claim "needs less water" (See 4.1 (c) and (d))

- (b) Sylvagrow
- For practical reasons we were interested in working with a substrate suitable both for propagation and for growing on and were advised by Melcourt that their Sylvagrow with John Innes 2 was suitable for both.
- However, the yields as such were disappointing the composition of the substrate perhaps being unsuitable for growing beans in pots (see 4.1.(f)).
- (d) Growing in open ground

Using loop frames merits further consideration. (See 1.8. Note).

#### 5.2. Dwarf Runners

- (a) The use a liquid fertiliser of the kind used in the trial continued to show improvement in yields (See 4.2.(b)).
- (b) However, we did not achieve the exceptional yields of 2019 and will not take this further.

### 6. FURTHER WORK

(a) Schedule of trials (formal and informal\*) for 2022 attached as Attachment (7). These include controlled in-house trials using the loop frame design in open ground (See 1.8. note).
Formal trials Reference numbers 1–4 continue work to date with beans in pots grown part horizontally.

\*We have a group of 100 volunteers available to carry out informal field trials (Attachment (8)).

- (d) Use of materials and methods will continue substantially as before. However, with the aim of keeping the balcony area as dry as possible (See 1.7), we will no longer use the permeable Vigoroot pots (Haxnicks International), although these have been shown in our trials us to be superior to plastic pots in terms of crop yield each time the comparison has been made.
- We would like to record our particular appreciation to Damian Cardozo of Haxnicks International for his valuable advice and practical support in the project.

Anthony Boyd *"Homegrow*". 15 November 2021 Stephen Morton

#### January 2022

## Attachment (1) References

1) Email from *Which? Gardening* 15/11/2019.

"Beans produce plentiful crops so to avoid being overwhelmed, the trick is to grow just the right amount of plants for your needs. In the Which? Gardening trial, most varieties produced at least 0.5kg per plant, and our Best Buy runner bean varieties produced up to 1kg per plant in a season. So a single wigwam of a dozen plants would be plenty for a small household of 2–3 persons."

2) Email from *RHS Gardening Advice* 4/1/2019.

"The yield of a dwarf runner bean is about 400g per plant. For climbing runner beans the figure is about 1000g per plant. Watering plants well when they are in flower (or failing to water well) will significantly influence yields."

- 3) RHS Vegetable & Fruit Gardening, 2013, p. 242.
- 4) Letter from Dr David Hessayon, 23/2/2016.
- 5) Letter from Garden Technical Development Manager, Westland Garden Health 9/2/2017.

# Attachment (2) Beans Trial 2020

Scheme

## Each set six plants. Each pot 10 litre.

Set	Plants	Cultivar	Туре	Pot	Substrate	Fertiliser
1	1–6	Hestia	Dwarf Runner	Vigoroot	Incredicompost*	Incredicrop
2	7–12	Hestia	Dwarf Runner	Vigoroot	Incredicompost*	Tomorite*
						Incredicrop
3	13–18	Benchmark	Runner	Vigoroot	Sylvagrow JI2	Osmocote
4	19–24	Benchmark	Runner	Vigoroot	Dalefoot Veg	None
5	25-30	Benchmark	Runner	Vigoroot	Incredipeatfree	Incredicrop
						* Concentrate

# Attachment (3) Beans Trial 2020 Journal

Мау	
1	All seeds sown. Sinclair seed compost
June	
2	Dalefoot Set planted
7	Remaining sets planted. Slug pellets (ferric oxide).
10	Sylvagrow muddy consistency.
	Substrate sticking to leaves.
11	16 – washed leaf
	17– cut unhealthy leaf away.
13	Photo – water – downward penetration
	Dalefoot Deepest, then Incredipeatfree, then Melcourt, then Incredicompost,
16	More slug pellets. So far no slug damage
	Melcourt – water takes longer to drain down
	(through loam) than others.
17	All Benchmark trimmed to same length as broken 21. Furthest advanced 21, 13, 15, 25, 26, 30. Melcourt sets guite solid.
19	First buds – on Hestia
20	29 chlorotic, 30 some slug damage. Pelleted.
23	Tomorited Set 2 – one day early
24	21, 20 SOME SLUG DAMAGE
	16 dead leaf removed
	13 30 reached ends of horizontals first
26	Slug damaged vellow leaves cut away 20 21 30
	Incredineatfree the furthest advanced 4 out of 6 reached ends of horizontals
30	Tomorited Set 2
	All Hestia in bloom
Julv	
1	Saprophytic fungi on compost 19 20 21
•	Melcourt dries to "crust" on top
5	Blackfly on 2. Sprayed. Cut off damaged leaves.
	4,19.6 cut damaged unhealthy leaves away.
8	Tomorited Set 2
9	Plant 2. Some blackfly remaining.
	Unhealthy plant. No bloom. Many leaves damaged — cut away.
	Plant 7 One damaged leaf cut away
	Plant 18 Two chlorotic and damaged leaves cut away.
10	Sprayed 2 again. Cut off damaged leaves 4, 5, 6. Also leaves from a few Dalefoot.
14	Most in full flower except 2, 15, 19, 23, 24, 30
	Tomorited
15	Damaged leaves removed 17, 21, 24
	Blackfly 26 and 21
	First beans on some Benchmark
	First viable Hestia picked plant 9.

### Attachment (3) Beans Trial 2020 Journal (Contd.)

16	Damaged leaves 13, 25, 19, 28 Photos taken. Blackfly on 13. sprayed all. Damaged leaves 2. Photo taken. Other Hestia not affected.
17	Widespread slug damage. Heavy dose of pellets. Damaged leaves removed 17, 25, 16, 28, 13, 29, 19, 2. New beans on 1, 28, 13.
18	V Hot 35° at 1345. V little slug damage. Removed damaged leaves from 2 Benchmark and Hestia 2, 10, 12. More tying and cutting ties. New beans 28.18.16.15.25. Grass growing in 14, 15. Removed. Dalefoot holding water longest (level in Vigoroot). Melcourt for shortest time (level in Vigoroot).
20	First Benchmaster picked rather late. 30cm and 24 cm. Blackfly No 5. One flowering shoot broken off 5. Sprayed all Hestia and 13 again. 35° 16.30
21	Tomorited
25 28 Aug-02 4	1 bloom cut from each Benchmark set. Tomorited Two poor plants Melcourt set 14 and 18. Hole in a odd no 21. Tomorited
7 11	Still trimming, untangling Showers for several days now. Tomorited
13	3 Dalefoot with yellow leaves. None on other sets.
16 18	Dry, cooler, picking daily. Watering daily. Tomorited
19 25	Many of Hestia failed to develop to full length containing one bean only. Tomorited
31	For a week or more yellow – other sets all still dark green.

### Attachment (3) Beans Trial 2020 Journal (Contd.)

September	
1	Tomorited
8	Tomorited
15	Tomorited
22	Tomorited
29	Tomorited (Last)
27	
October 5	Hestia No.1 well in bloom still.
10	Took down Sets 1, 2 except plant 1 in bloom still
11	Set 4 plants 19– 4 taken down except plant 22 in bloom.
12	Set 3 taken down, Some pods picked.
24	Rest of plants all taken down. Picked pods plants27 and 28

## Attachment (4) Layout 2021 Sets and Plants



# Attachment (5) Table of Yields

Set Number	Plant Number	Gms / plant	Ranking	Pods	Gms/pod	Substrate	Fertiliser	Pot
1	1	327		34	9.6			
Hestia F1	2	402		42	9.6			
	3	416		54	7.7			
	4	708		74	9.6			
	5	706		89	7.9			
	6	714		87	8.2			
	TOTAL SET 1	3273		380	8.6	Incredicompost	Incredicrop	Vigoroot
	Grams mean/plant 545.5		5					
2	7	489		76	6.4			
Hestia F1	8	702		108	6.5			
	9	1017		166	6.3			
	10	382		51	7.5			
	11	392		58	6.8			
	12	968		122	7.9		Incredicrop	
	TOTAL SET 2	3950		581	6.8	Incredicompost	Tomorite	Vigoroot
	Grams mean/plant 558.3		3					

			(E	B) CLIMBING RUNNER	S			
13	671		53	12.7				
14	387		28	13.8				
15	760		46	16.9				
16	696		48	14.5				
17	515		32	16.1				
18	367		20	18.3				
TOTAL SET 3	3396		227	14.9	Sylvagrow		Osmocote	Vigoroot
Grams mean/plant 566.0		4			John Innes 2			
					Peat free			
19	916		49	18.7				
20	671		52	12.9				
21	827		44	18.8				
22	510		37	13.8				
23	553		44	12.6				
24	596		37	16.1				
TOTAL SET 4	4073		263	15.5	Dalefoot		None	Vigoroot
Grams mean/plant 678.8		2			Vegetable			
					Peat Free			
25	757		54	14.0				
26	887		57	15.6				
27	1085		57	19.0				
28	897		63	14.2				
29	441		44	10.0				
30	637		46	13.8				
TOTAL SET 5	4704		321	14.6	Incredipeatfree		Incredicrop	Vigoroot
Grams mean/plant 784.0		1						
	13     14     15     16     17     18     TOTAL SET 3     Grams mean/plant 566.0     1     19     20     21     22     23     24     TOTAL SET 4     Grams mean/plant 678.8     25     26     27     28     29     30     TOTAL SET 5     Grams mean/plant 784.0	I3   671     13   671     14   387     15   760     16   696     17   515     18   367     TOTAL SET 3   3396     Grams mean/plant 566.0   1     19   916     20   671     21   827     22   510     23   553     24   596     TOTAL SET 4   4073     Grams mean/plant 678.8   1     25   757     26   887     27   1085     28   897     29   441     30   637     Grams mean/plant 784.0   4074	Image:	Image: Constraint of the sector of the se	B     CLIMBING RUNNER       13     671     53     12.7       14     387     28     13.8       15     760     46     16.9       16     696     48     14.5       17     515     32     16.1       18     367     20     18.3       TOTAL SET 3     3396     227     14.9       Grams mean/plant 566.0     4     1     1       19     916     49     18.7       20     671     52     12.9       21     827     44     18.8       22     510     37     13.8       23     553     44     12.6       24     596     37     16.1       TOTAL SET 4     4073     263     15.5       Grams mean/plant 678.8     2     15.5     15.5       Grams mean/plant 678.8     2     1     1       25     757     54     14.0       26     887     57 <td>Image: Constraint of the sector of</td> <td>(B) CLIMBING RUNNERS     13   671   53   12.7      14   387   28   13.8       14   387   28   13.8       15   760   46   16.9        16   696   48   14.5        17   515   32   16.1         18   367   20   18.3  &lt;</td> <td>136706312.744133876.786.6.944156706.466.944166.96.074674166.976.176761755573393297767183676.0714.95566183676.17105676196.976.176767206.01776.17767216.55776.177672375576.17767724505776.1777724505776.1777724505776.17777357777777774677777777747777777</td>	Image: Constraint of the sector of	(B) CLIMBING RUNNERS     13   671   53   12.7      14   387   28   13.8       14   387   28   13.8       15   760   46   16.9        16   696   48   14.5        17   515   32   16.1         18   367   20   18.3  <	136706312.744133876.786.6.944156706.466.944166.96.074674166.976.176761755573393297767183676.0714.95566183676.17105676196.976.176767206.01776.17767216.55776.177672375576.17767724505776.1777724505776.1777724505776.17777357777777774677777777747777777

Attachment (6) Cumulative Yields Sets 1 and 2



Attachment (6) Cumulative Yields Sets 3, 4 and 5



# Attachment (7) Proposed Programme of Trials 2022

						NB.	'Mono': One looped bar			
							'Duo': Two looped bars			
GROUP	Ref. No	Location	Plants	Device						
					ASSI	ESSMENT – COMPARATIVE YI	ELDS			
In House	1	WSL	6	Bean Runner Balcony Mono		Benchmaster	Incredipeatfree.Incredicrop rec	dicrop recommended dose.		
Formal	2	WSL	6	и и		и и	Incredipeatfree. Incredicrop reco	ecommended dose X 2.		
	3	WSL	6	и и		и и	New Horizon peat-free. Gro-su	re feed tablets.		
							Recommended dose.			
	4	WSL	6	и и		ш ш	Miracle Gro premium peat-free	. Continuous release		
							all purpose plant food. Recomr	nended dose.		
				All above – Analysis of (a) Compo	st (b)	Fertilser granules start and	l end of trial.			
	5	NF	6	Bean Open ground Mono		Benchmaster (1) Hestia (1)		No added fertiliser.		
			6	" " Mono 2 plant		Benchmaster (2) Hestia (1)		No added fertiliser		
	6	NF	6	Bean Open ground Mono		Benchmaster (1)		No added fertiliser		
			6	" "Mono 2 plant		Benchmaster (2)		No added fertiliser		
	7	NF		Bean Open ground on crossed canes 12 Benchmaster plants (6X2)				No added fertiliser		
	8	NF	6	Bean open ground Mono		Benchmaster	With Tomorite			
			6	Bean open ground Mono 2 plant Benchmaster			Ш Ш			
	9	NF	6	Bean open ground Mono		Benchmaster	With Neudorff liquid organic.			
			6	Bean open ground Mono 2plant		Benchmaster	и и и и			
Field	NB.	'Mono': On	e looped	bar	ASS	ESSMENT OF – ASSEMBLY –	CULTIVATION			
Trials		'Duo':Two	looped ba	ars						
	10	Own premises	100	Bean Balcony Mono		Benchmaster	Incredipeatfree/Incredicrop recommended dose X 2.			
							Continuation of 2021	Starter Kits only		
	11	"	50	Open ground Mono One plant		Benchmaster				
	12	ш	50	" " Two plant		Benchmaster				
	13	Ш	50	Open Ground Mono One plant Benchmaster One plant Hestia						
	14	<i>II</i>	50	Open Ground Mono Two plants Benchmaster One plant Hestia						
Teachers,	Therap	oists,			ASSESSEMENT – SUITABILITY FOR USE IN STH					
Leaders –	Social/	Community	у		(9	Social and Therapeutic Hort	iculture)			
	15	Own premises	50	Bean Balcony Mono		Benchmaster (1)	Incredipeatfree/Incredicrop recommended dose x 2.			
	16	"	100	Open ground Mono		Benchmaster (2)	Hestia (1)			
<b>.</b>					EXPERT OPINION					
Gardening Experts	17	"	50	Bean Balcony Mono		Benchmaster (1)	Incredipeatfree/Incredicrop recommended dose.			
	18	"	50	Open ground Mono		Benchmaster (1)				
			Note: A	All pots either bamboo fibre or recy	cled p	olastic.				

## Attachment (8) Volunteers List

Trial of plant support for growing climbing runner beans (Phaseolus coccineus) part horizontally.

Participants

Club or Association		Location	North Wales Cottage Carden Society	$\checkmark$	Denbigh		
			North Warnbourogh and District Garden				
Ainsdale Horticultural Society	$\checkmark$	Merseyside	Club	$\checkmark$	Surrey		
Alresford (Bramdean)	$\checkmark$	Hampshire Federation	Reading Gardeners	$\checkmark$	Berkshire		
Aldershot Floral Design Club	$\checkmark$	Hampshire Federation	Rettendon Gardening Association	$\checkmark$	Essex		
Alveston Allotments	$\checkmark$	Gloucestershire	RHS Garden Wisley	$\checkmark$	Surrey		
Ampneys Garden Club	$\checkmark$	Gloucestershire	Ruspidge Gardening Club	$\checkmark$	Gloucestershire		
Barbican Horticultural Society	$\checkmark$	London EC2	School	$\checkmark$	Gloucestershire		
Bridge Community Farm	$\checkmark$	Merseyside	School	$\checkmark$	Gloucestershire		
Burford & District Horticultural Society	$\checkmark$	Gloucestershire	School	$\checkmark$	Gloucestershire		
Carrickfergus Garden Society	$\checkmark$	County Antrim	School	$\checkmark$	Surrey		
Caterham & District Horticultural Society	$\checkmark$	Surrey	Severn Vale Garden Society	$\checkmark$	Gloucestershire		
Cheltenham Horticultural Society	$\checkmark$	Gloucestershire	Stenhouse Allotments	$\checkmark$	Edinburgh		
Gloucestershire Federation			St Dunstans Canterbury	$\checkmark$	Kent		
of Gardening Clubs	$\checkmark$	Gloucestershire	Salisbury Allotments and Gardens				
Down Ampney Gardening Club	$\checkmark$	Gloucestershire	Association	$\checkmark$	Wiltshire		
Felixstowe Garden Club	$\checkmark$	Essex	Severnside Cottage Garden Society	$\checkmark$	Gloucestershire		
Fowlmere and Thriplow Gardening Club	$\checkmark$	Hertfordshire	Shrewsbury Trials Group	$\checkmark$	Shropshire		
Herbiseed Focus Group	$\checkmark$	Warwickshire	Swalcliffe & Tadmarton Horticultural				
Hallow Allotments	$\checkmark$	Worcestershire	Society	$\checkmark$	Oxfordshire		
Box Village Gardening Club	$\checkmark$	London W7	The Royal Caledonian Horticultural				
Hereford and District Fuschias			Society	$\checkmark$	Edinburgh		
Association	$\checkmark$	Herefordshire	The Therapy Garden	$\checkmark$	Surrey		
Hurst Allotments	$\checkmark$	Berkshire	THRIVE	$\checkmark$	Berkshire		
Independent	$\checkmark$	London SE10	Tilehurst Horticultural Association	$\checkmark$	Berkshire		
Independent	$\checkmark$	London SW19	Trade	$\checkmark$	Berkshire		
Independent	$\checkmark$	London NW5	Trade	$\checkmark$	Essex		
Independent Godalming	$\checkmark$	Surrey	Trade	$\checkmark$	Derbyshire		
Independent Chipping Norton	$\checkmark$	Oxfordshire	Twyford and Ruscombe Horticultural				
Independent, Portchester	$\checkmark$	Hampshire	Society	$\checkmark$	Berkshire		
Independent, Hurstbourne Priors	$\checkmark$	Hampshire	University Hospitals Dorset NHS				
Kent Federation	$\checkmark$	Kent	Foundation Trust Stroke Unit	$\checkmark$	Dorset		
Leeds Research Group	$\checkmark$	Yorkshire	Wells Community Hospital	$\checkmark$	Norfolk		
Lesbury Garden Club	$\checkmark$	Northumberland	Whelford (Fairford)	$\checkmark$	Gloucestershire		
Llandudno U3A	$\checkmark$	Conwy	Whiteparish		Wiltshire		
Minchinhampton Gardening Club	$\checkmark$	Gloucestershire	Wildgoose Rural Training		Worcestershire		
Mickelton Gardening Club	$\checkmark$	Gloucestershire	Woolton Hill Gardeners Club	$\checkmark$	Hampshire		
Morpeth Garden Club	$\checkmark$	Northumberland	Wolverhampton Horticultural Society	$\checkmark$	West Midlands		
Ninewells Community Garden	$\checkmark$	Dundee	Wonersh and District Garden Club		Surrey		
Norfolk and Norwich Horticultural Societ	y <b>√</b>	Norfolk	*"Independent" gardener coming to the trial via social media – not through a				

'Independent'' gardener coming to the trial via social media – not through a club or association

## Attachment (9) Beans trial 2021 – Water retention

### 21/07/2021: Watered three times: Per plant 1.0 litre, 0.5 litre, 1.0 litre. Last 18.15. Temperature 30°

Measured 22/0	)7/2021 07.30.			
	Plant	Wet compost at level in pot above ground (cm)		
		Highest	Lowest	Average
SET				
T&M	30	17	13	15.00
	29	16	10	13.00
	28	16	11	13.50
	27	15	13	14.00
	26	18	12	15.00
	25	16	14	15.00
			MEAN	14.25
DALEFOOT	24	22	14	18.00
	23	20	15	17.50
	22	18	15	16.50
	21	24	14	19.00
	20	25	15	20.00
	19	20	13	16.50
			MEAN	17.92
MELCOURT	18	20	21	20.50
	17	18	18	18.00
	16	20	15	17.50
	15	14	11	12.50
	14	20	7	13.50
	13	11	11	11.00
			MEAN	15.50

# Attachment (10) Photographs



1. All plants potted 7 June.



2. Dalefoot Problem

Attachment (11) (Contd.) Photographs



3. Poorly Hestia

Attachment (12) (Contd.) Photographs



4. Hestia all in bloom 1 July

## Attachment (12) (Contd.) Photographs



5. Water levels in compost

